

Estes Park • Fort Collins • Longmont • Loveland

## **Board of directors**

March 28, 2024

### **Resource Adequacy Reporting per** HB23-1039

Raj Singam Setti, chief operating officer, innovation and stainable resource integration



### **Resource Adequacy**

- Resource adequacy (RA) is the ability of utilities' generation to meet all end-use customer energy demand.
- We serve our customers under all conditions, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.
- RA ensures there is enough accredited capacity and reserves for the grid operator to maintain a balanced supply and customers' demand across the electric system.



### **Planning Reserve Margin**

- North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) defines RA requirement to have a Planning Reserve Margin (PRM – additional capacity relative to the peak demand) that will limit the probability of loss of load in a planning year being equal to 0.1
- Historically, utilities have been able to meet this RA criteria by carrying a PRM of 12-15%
- With the retirement of dispatchable resources and the addition of intermittent renewables, expected PRM is going up – a recent Western Electricity Coordinating Council study recommended 20%+
  - An independent consultant specializing in this area advised Platte River uses 19.9% for 2024 Integration Resource Plan
- A critical factor in maintaining resource adequacy under a changing grid mix is accurately assessing renewable energy potential and future demand for electricity, particularly when there is likely going to be stress on the power system.



### **Supply side resources**

Installed capacity in MWs	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
Dispatchable Generation							
Rawhide Unit 1	280	280	280	280	280	280	
Craig 1	77	77					
Craig 2	74	74	74	74	74		
Existing CTs	388	388	388	388	388	388	388
New Dispatchable					200	200	200
Total Dispatchable Generation	819	819	742	742	942	868	588
Carbon-Free Generation							
Hydro	81	78	75	72	70	70	70
Wind	231	231	231	431	631	631	691
Utility Solar	52	202	352	352	352	352	352
Utility Storage	1	1	26	51	111	186	186
Total Carbon Free Generation	365	512	684	907	1,164	1,239	1,299
Total Generation Capacity	1,184	1,331	1,426	1,649	2,106	2,107	1,887



### **ELCC of intermittent resources**

80% 75% 70% 74% 70% 66% 60% 62% 59% 58% 56% **50%** 54% 52% 51% 50% 50% 50% 49% 40% ELCC 31% 30% 20% 20% 16% 13% 11% 10% 9% 9% 8% 7% 10% 7% 7% 7% 7% 7% 10% 9% 8% 8% 7% 7% 6% 6% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 6% 5% 0% 2038 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2030 2031 2032 2033 2034 2035 2036 2037 Solar -Wind -----Storage

> Platte River Power Authority

Solar, wind and storage - ELCCs

- Effective Load Carrying Capability (ELCC) is the average capacity available at the time of peak load
- As more intermittent capacity is added, ELCC continues to drop

### **PRM projections for Platte River**

Platte River will have enough resources to meet the NERC approved RA criteria during the next five years.

Firm or Accredited capacity	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
Dispatchable generation UCAP	784	784	711	711	907	836	565
Hydro	81	78	75	72	70	70	70
Wind - ELCC Adjusted Capacity	39	39	39	55	69	69	79
Solar - ELCC Adjusted Capacity	29	60	84	84	84	84	84
Storage - ELCC Adjusted Capacity	1	1	18	35	75	119	119
Firm Capacity	934	961	927	956	1,204	1,178	917
Total Generation Capacity	1,184	1,331	1,426	1,649	2,106	2,107	1,887
Load and other Obligations							
Owner Community Load	732	740	747	755	762	770	778
Firm Sales	65						
DERs Obligations (BE, EV and DS)	1	1	2	3	6	10	15
Demand Response	(7)	(8)	(9)	(13)	(20)	(26)	(31)
Net Load Obligation	791	733	740	745	748	754	762
Planning Reserve Margin (PRM)	18%	31%	25%	28%	61%	56%	20%
Target PRM 2024-2025	15.0%						
Target PRM 2026 onward	Per RTO Wes	st guideline	S				



## Questions



Estes Park • Fort Collins • Longmont • Loveland



Estes Park • Fort Collins • Longmont • Loveland

## **Board of directors**

March 28, 2024

# VPP series: distributed energy storage update

Zach Borton, distributed energy resources services manager



### **Platte River storage strategy**

- Long Duration Storage (use case)
- 4-hour storage
  - Utility Scale Storage (use case)
  - Distributed Energy Storage (use case)



### **Distribution-scale storage timeline**







### **Distribution-scale storage timeline**







### Value stacking – the "cost factor"



Energy storage economics – EPRI Storage Wiki



### **Distribution-scale storage timeline**



#### **PV Solar + BESS RFP released** in December 2021

Bids included storage interconnected within transmission and distribution systems

**Final vendor selection** 

2023





### Location scout and use case discovery

 Owner community staff engineers conducted reviews of individual systems to identify sites with available land and viable use cases.



- The materials were packaged and sent to shortlisted bidders for rebid.
- Platte River engineers collaborated on developing a replicable interconnection strategy, while bidders submitted proposals for systems that incorporated the battery, inverter and transformer.







### **Distribution-scale storage timeline**



- Performance must mature
- Cost must decline

2018

### Shortlisted developers

Of nine total "distributed" bids, three developers were shortlisted

18 2021 2022 2023

### PV Solar + BESS RFP released in December 2021

Bids included storage interconnected within transmission and distribution systems

#### **Final vendor selection**

- Collaborative review
   and selection process
- Bidder awarded





### **2024 – Present day**

- Preliminary site visits completed with Platte River, owner communities, developer, storage integrator and permitting professionals
- Formulation of risk mitigation strategies; circulation of safety and fire mitigation memorandum

#### **Contract Risk Mitigation**

Completion

Performance

Decommissioning



- LFP advantages: Longer lifespan, great thermal stability
- LFP disadvantage: Lower energy density





### **2024 – Present day**

- Preliminary site visits completed with Platte River, owner communities, developer, storage integrator, and permitting professionals
- Formulation of risk mitigation strategies; circulation of safety and fire mitigation memo
- Drafting of land lease documents underway, requiring city council approvals
- Continued engineering support as we begin interconnection and construction
- Project development and construction is expected to last 2 to 2.5 years with a 20-year project term



## Questions



Estes Park • Fort Collins • Longmont • Loveland



Estes Park • Fort Collins • Longmont • Loveland

## **Board of directors**

March 28, 2024

### Update of the 2024 Colorado Legislative Session

Javier C. Camacho, director of public and external affairs, strategic communications and social marketing



### **Legislative delegation**

#### **State senators**

- Joann Ginal (District 14, Larimer County)
- Janice Marchman (District 15, Larimer and Boulder Counties)
- Sonya Jaquez-Lewis (District 17, Boulder, Broomfield and Weld Counties)
- Barbara Kirkmeyer (District 23, Larimer and Weld Counties)

#### **State representatives**

- Karen McCormick (House District 11, Boulder County)
- Judy Amabile (House District 49, Boulder and Larimer Counties)
- Ron Weinberg (House District 51, Larimer County)
- Cathy Kipp (House District 52, Larimer County)
- Andrew Boesenecker (House District 53, Larimer County)
- Mike Lynch (House District 65, Larimer and Weld Counties)



### 75<sup>th</sup> Colorado General Assembly

#### Since March 28, 2024:

- General assembly convened on January 10, 2024
- Scheduled to adjourn on May 8, 2024
- As of March 21, 566 bills introduced



### **Guiding principles of legislative advocacy**

#### **Principles**

- Maintain high ethical, transparency standards in alignment with Platte River's Board of Directors
- Support our three pillars of environmental responsibility, financial sustainability, and reliability
- Ensure stable regulatory
   environment for planning
- Work in partnership with coalitions and our owner communities

#### Support

- Husch Blackwell Strategies (Carrie and Micki Hackenberger)
- CAMU
  - Legislative Committee
- Colorado Chamber of Commerce
  - Energy and environment council
  - Government affairs council



### March 2024 Legislative Outlook

- General Assembly has introduced several air quality bills. The primary target of these bills is the oil and gas sector, however many industries are swept up in the scope – including utilities. Committees are watering these bills down through the amendment process, though as of March 21 several bills would still have a negative impact on Platte River.
- The Polis Administration is looking to legislate its policy goal of net-zero by 2040 for utilities through the existing Clean Energy Plan framework. The bill sponsors will introduce that bill soon.
- Joint Budget Committee has indicated a \$200M+ deficit, so legislators will struggle to pass any bill with a large fiscal note.

### SB24-165

#### Air Quality Improvements – Summary

- Omnibus bill that impacts many industries. There are three main areas that are relevant to the broader business community:
  - Emission standards and requirements for in-use, off-road, diesel-fueled fleets. The bill requires the AQCC to adopt rules before the end of 2028 specific to off-road, diesel-fueled fleets owned or operated in the state.
  - Regulation of indirect sources, for example an existing building that generates, attracts, or may attract mobile source activity that results in emissions of air pollutants (e.g., Platte River HQ). The bill would also set up a fee structure for indirect sources.
  - Vehicle Miles Traveled Targets. Requires AQCC to establish VMT reductions targets for the ozone nonattainment area.

#### Actions – Monitor

- CAMU position amend.
- Committee adopted amendments to remove standards for in-use, off-road, diesel-fueled fleets and the VMT targets, however the indirect source program remains in the bill.
- We will continue to work with CAMU to seek more clarification on the indirect source section, revise bill language, and/or ensure the bill only impacts its intended targets: the transportation and the oil and gas sectors.



### SB24-166

#### **Air Quality Enforcement – Summary**

- Defines a high priority violator as a source that exceeds the allowable emissions of an air pollutant five
  or more times in a three-year period and defines a repeat violator as a source that has five or more
  violations in a three-year period.
- Removes the ability for the division to issue a warning or take informal action for repeat violators while increasing the civil penalty requirements for repeat violators.
- Grants any person the ability to commence a civil action against an alleged violator. This would open the state and sources up to a multitude of potential lawsuits.

#### **Actions – Oppose**

- CAMU position oppose. CML and the Chamber oppose this bill too.
- Committee adopted amendments to clarify that better and more narrowly define a "violation" and "exceedance," however the path for considerable litigation remains.
- We will continue to oppose this bill until the civil action section is further addressed.



### HB24-1330

#### **Air Quality Permitting – Summary**

- Proposes that no construction can take place until a construction permit is formally granted. This is applicable to both new construction and substantial alterations of an existing commercial or multi-family structure.
- Requires air quality modeling for any permit granted on or after January 2025.
- Proposes no new sources in a disadvantaged community.

#### Actions – *Monitor*

- CAMU position monitor.
- Committee adopted amendments to narrow the scope to the oil and gas sector, though some problematic language remains.
- We will continue to monitor amendment language until we are satisfied that this bill will not affect utilities.



### HB24-1338

#### **Cumulative Impacts & Environmental Justice – Summary**

- Creates the office of environmental justice within the CDPHE and requires the office to oversee the development of at least two Environmental Equity and Cumulative Impact Analyses (EECIAs).
- Authorizes local governments with jurisdiction over cumulatively impacted communities to review air permit applications for new or increased operational emissions of certain health-related air pollutants and request that the AQCC impose limits on those new or existing sources (Section 4).
- Establish a rapid response inspection team for air quality complaints.

#### **Actions – Amend**

- CAMU position amend.
- We would like to see Section 4 struck to avoid further hurdles in the permitting process. CDPHE has indicated that they will strike Section 4, and we will work to continue to advocate that they do so.



### HB24-1339

#### **Disproportionately Impact Community Air Pollution – Summary**

- Increases AQCC from nine to 11 members to include a member of a disadvantaged community and a climate scientist who do not derive income from an entity that the commission regulates.
- Requires the commission to implement rules by January 1, 2025, that:
  - Prevent emissions from the industrial and manufacturing sector from increasing in the near-term.
  - Modifies the administration of the GHG credit trading program.
  - Establishes source-specific GHG emission reduction requirements that must be met through direct reductions of GHG emissions for a sector sources that adversely affects disadvantaged communities.

#### Actions – Monitor

- CAMU position amend.
- Clean up language to ensure new emissions rules only impact industrial and manufacturing sectors covered under GEMM rulemaking; utilities that filed Clean Energy Plans should have safe harbor from new emissions targets before 2030.



### **Other bills of interest**

#### No bill number: Updating Clean Energy Plans / Net-Zero by 2040

• Qualifying utilities would need to file updated Clean Energy Plans that model net-zero and near net-zero emissions by 2040.

#### HB24-1030 Railroad Safety Requirements

• Limits the length of trains.

#### HB24-1352 Appliance Requirements and Incentives

• Changes state tax rebates for heat pumps.

#### HB24-1341 State Vehicle Idling Standard

 Grants authority to local governments to adopt idling standards that are more stringent than the state standard.



### **Next steps**

#### **Committee Monitoring**

- Senate Transportation and Energy
- House Energy and Environment

#### **Stakeholder calls**

- City staff
- Colorado Energy Office
- CAMU
- Chamber of Commerce

#### Legislative session adjourns May 8

• Recap of the state legislature at May board meeting (May 30)



## Questions



Estes Park • Fort Collins • Longmont • Loveland



Estes Park • Fort Collins • Longmont • Loveland

## **Board of directors**

March 28, 2024

### **February operational results**

Owner community load	Budget	Actual	Variance	% varia	ince
Owner community demand	490 MW	448 MW	(42 MW)	(8.5%)	
Owner community energy	261 GWh	248 GWh	(13 GWh)	(5.0%)	
Not variable aget* to conve owner community aperav	\$4.6M	\$4.5M	(\$0.1M)	1 70/	•
Net variable cost to serve owner community energy	\$17.72/MWh	\$18.02/MWh	\$.30/MWh	1.770	

\*Net variable cost = total resource variable costs + purchased power costs - sales revenue

#### Market impacts to net variable cost

Downward pressure		Upward pressure			
Generation and market outcomes pushing costs lower		Generation and market outcomes pushing costs higher			
Coal generation fuel savings	\$.86M	Lower bilateral and market sales volume	\$.91M		
Lower wind generation volume	\$.34M	Higher shaft share pricing	\$.42M		

### **YTD operational results**

Owner community load	Budget	Actual	Variance	% varia	ince	
Owner community demand	977 MW	977 MW	0 MW	0.1%	•	
Owner community energy	547 GWh	536 GWh	(11 GWh)	(2.0%)	•	
Not variable aget* to conve owner community operaty	\$10.1M	\$8.3M	\$1.8M	(160/)		
net variable cost to serve owner community energy	\$18.47/MWh	\$15.51/MWh	(\$2.96/MWh)	(10%)		

\*Net variable cost = total resource variable costs + purchased power costs - sales revenue

#### Market impacts to net variable cost

Downward pressure			
Generation and market outcomes pushing costs lower			Ge
Coal generation fuel savings \$1.2M			Lower bila
Lower wind generation volume	\$1.4M		Higher Cra

Upward pressure				
Generation and market outcomes pushing costs higher				
Lower bilateral and market sales volume \$				
Higher Craig pricing	\$.40M			



Estes Park • Fort Collins • Longmont • Loveland

## **Board of directors**

March 28, 2024

### **Financial summary**

Category	February variance from budget (\$ in millions)	YTD variance from budget (in millions)
Change in net position*	\$(1.0)	\$1.3 •
Fixed obligation charge coverage	(0.07x) <b></b>	0.27x •
Revenues	\$(1.7)	\$(1.1)
Operating expenses	\$1.6	\$3.3
Capital additions	\$5.6	\$9.5 <b>•</b>

2% ● Favorable | 2% to -2% ◆ At or near budget | < -2% ■ Unfavorable

\*February change in net position results impacted by a net loss on investments of \$0.8 million and \$0.4 million YTD.





Estes Park • Fort Collins • Longmont • Loveland

## **Board of directors**

March 28, 2024